Clinical Research



Investigation of The Effects of Restless Legs Syndrome on Sleep Quality and Quality of Life: A Controlled Study

İlker ÖZDEMİR^{1,a}, Adnan Burak BİLGİÇ², Erkan KURU³

¹Giresun Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Psikiyatri Anabilim Dalı, Giresun, Türkiye ²Sağlık Bilimleri Üniversitesi Haydarpaşa Numune Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Nöroloji Kliniği, İstanbul, Türkiye ³Serbest Hekim, Psikiyatri, Ankara, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Objective: Restless legs syndrome is a common neurological disorder that may cause sleep problems and have negative effects on daily life. We've aimed to investigate the domains of quality of sleep and life on which this syndrome impacts and the effects of sociodemographic variables and the severity of the syndrome.

Material and Method: We've included 47 patients newly diagnosed with primary restless legs syndrome and a control group of 67 participants. "Sociodemographic Data Form", "International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group Rating Scale for Severity of Restless Legs Syndrome", "The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index", and "The World Health Organization Quality of Life Instrument Short Form Turkish Version" were applied to the participants.

Results: Patient and control groups were similar in many fields in terms of sociodemographic variables. Patient group had significantly higher sleep problems and lower quality of life compared to the control group. There was no difference among the genders in the patient group in terms of severity of the symptom. Although the severity of the symptom was similar among the smokers and nonsmokers in the patient group, the smoker group had higher level of sleep problems. Severity of the symptom demonstrated a positive correlation with sleep, and negative correlation with quality of life. **Conclusion:** Restless legs syndrome has negative effects on sleep and quality of life. Such effects are impacted by the severity of the symptom.

Keywords: Restless Legs Syndrome, Quality of Life, Sleep Disorder.

ÖZ

Huzursuz Bacaklar Sendromunun Uyku ve Yaşam Kalitesine Etkisinin İncelenmesi: Kontrollü Çalışma

Amaç: Huzursuz bacaklar sendromu yaygın görülen, uyku ve günlük yaşamda olumsuzluklara yol açabilen nörolojik hastalıktır. Çalışmamızda bu sendromun uyku ve yaşam kalitesini hangi alanlarda etkilediğini, sosyodemografik değişkenlerin ve hastalık şiddetinin bu duruma etkilerini incelemeyi amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Çalışmamıza 47 yeni tanı almış primer huzursuz bacaklar sendromlu hasta ve 63 kontrol grubu dahil edilmiştir. Katılımcılara "Sosyodemografik Veri Formu", "Uluslararası Huzursuz Bacaklar Sendromu Çalışma Grubu Huzursuz Bacaklar Sendromu Şiddet Skalası", "Pittsburgh Uyku Kalitesi İndeksi" ve "Dünya Sağlık Örgütü Yaşam Kalitesi Ölçeği Kısa Formu Türkçe Versiyonu" uygulanmıştır.

Bulgular: Hasta ve kontrol grupları sosyodemografik değişkenler açısından birçok alanda benzerdi. Hasta grubu, kontrol grubuna göre anlamlı düzeyde yüksek uyku sorunlarına ve düşük yaşam kalitesine sahipti. Hasta grubunda cinsiyetler arasında semptom şiddeti açısından fark yoktu. Hasta grubunda sigara kullanan ve kullanmayanlar arasında semptom şiddeti benzer bulunsa da sigara kullanan grup daha yüksek uyku sorunlarına sahipti. Semptom şiddeti uyku ile pozitif yönlü, yaşam kalitesi ile negatif yönlü korelasyon göstermekteydi.

Sonuç: Huzursuz bacaklar sendromu yaşam ve uyku kalitesi üzerine olumsuz etkilere sahiptir. Bu etkiler semptom şiddetinden etkilenmektedir. Anahtar Sözcükler: Huzursuz Bacaklar Sendromu, Yaşam Kalitesi, Uyku Bozukluğu.

Bu makale atıfta nasıl kullanılır: Özdemir İ, Bilgiç AB, Kuru E. Huzursuz Bacaklar Sendromunun Uyku ve Yaşam Kalitesine Etkisinin İncelenmesi: Kontrollü Çalışma. Fırat Tıp Dergisi 2023; 28(3): 170-176.

How to cite this article: Ozdemir I, Bilgic AB, Kuru E. Investigation of The Effects of Restless Legs Syndrome on Sleep Quality and Quality of Life: A Controlled Study. Firat Med J 2023; 28(3): 170-176.

ORCID IDs: İ.Ö. 0000-0002-3531-3280, A.B.B. 0000-0002-5216-1573, E.K. 0000-0003-1949-4007.

Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS) is a chronic disorder

which is commonly characterized by abnormal sensations accompanied by pain in legs and/or any part of the body and the sense of restlessness. RLS, also known as Willis-Ekbom Disease, is a sensorimotor disorder characterized by an unpleasant and uncomforting sensation which generally occurs in the lower extremities at nighttime and at rest, and eases by moving the legs (1, 2). While RLS, which causes complaints that can be described as pain, numbness, twitching, itching, etc., was diagnosed by identifying the five criteria determined by The International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG), the requirements of insomnia or daytime symptoms were introduced by the International Classification of Sleep Disorders-Third Edition in 2014 (3). There are varying conclusion in the literature on the prevalence of RLS, and the prevalence varies in

^aYazışma Adresi: İlker ÖZDEMİR, Giresun Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Psikiyatri Anabilim Dalı, Giresun, Türkiye Tel: 0454 310 2020 Gelis Tarihi/Received: 21.02.2022 Kabul Tarihi/Accepted: 07.09.2022

the range of 1-15% in the adult population (4).

It is known to cause sleep disorder due to symptoms becoming more apparent generally at nighttime (5). RLS is a clinical condition which can disrupt the normal life functions as a frequent cause of sleep disorders (6). Causing various sleep problems such as sleep onset latency, frequent awakening, and daytime sleepiness, RLS was used to be referred to as a mild neurological disorder in the beginning, today, it is demonstrated that the disorder negatively impacts the quality of life with physical, psychological, and social effects (2, 7).

In this study, we've planned to investigate the effects of the disorder and its severity on sleep and quality of life in individuals diagnosed with RLS. It was desired to investigate whether sleep problems differed compared to the control group, whether sociodemographic variables affect sleep problems in RLS, whether sleep problems worsen according to RLS severity, whether the presence of RLS affects quality of life, and whether there is a relationship between RLS severity and quality of life.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Study Plan

In determining the sample size in our study, the mean difference sample size formula was used, type 1 error was determined as 0.05, type 2 error was determined as 0.20 and the effect size was calculated as 0.50. Accordingly, it was concluded that at least 102 participants (case+control) were required for the study. Forty sevenindividuals diagnosed with RLS based on clinical evaluations and IRLSSG diagnostic criteria and secondary causes excluded, who have applied to neurology outpatient clinic between December 2019 and February 2020, were included in our study by random and non-probable sampling method. Sixty-three healthy volunteers were included by random sampling as part of the same process. Participants were interviewed and evaluated face to face at first by neurologist. After neurological evaluation, if the patient was diagnosed with RLS, was referred to a psychiatrist. The patient is subjected to a detailed psychiatric examination. Following the evaluation interviews, if there is not any ongoing neurodevelopmental disorder, alcohol and/or substance abuse, the clinician administered the mandatory self-evaluation scales to the patients diagnosed with RLS prior to starting the treatment. This is an observational and cross-sectional study with a control group.

Study inclusion criteria were determined as being at the age of 18 and older, nonexistence of an ongoing neurodevelopmental disorder, and nonexistence of alcohol and/or substance abuse. Participants with known iron deficiency anemia, pregnancy, polyneuropathy, chronic kidney failure, oral contraceptive users, and participants receiving RLS treatment were excluded from the study. We've obtained Ethics Committee approval dated 09.01.2019 and numbered KAEK-85 for our study. Our study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Collection Tools

Sociodemographic Data Form: This is a semistructured evaluation tools created by the researchers prepared for the purpose of collecting general or RLS related demographical and sociocultural data of the participants.

International Restless Leg Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) Rating Scale for Severity of RLS (RLSSS): Developed by IRLSSG, this scale comprises of 10 questions, each having a score range of 0-4, intended to determine the severity of the disorder (8). Severity of RLS can be staged based on the scored derived from the scale. Score 0-10 is evaluated as "mild", score 11-20 as "moderate", score 21-30 as "severe", and score 31-40 "very severe" RLS.

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI): Intended to evaluate the existence and severity of sleep problems over the last month and comprising 19 questions, PSQI is developed by Buyyse et al., and Turkish version is adapted by Ağargün et al (9,10). The scale comprises seven sub-domains of subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disorder, sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction. Total score of 5 and above indicates a clinically poor sleep quality at a significant level (9,10).

The World Health Organization Quality of Life Form Turkish Instrument Short Version (WHOQOL-BREF-TR): Intended to evaluate the quality of life of the individuals over the last 15 days, this index is developed by the World Health Organization, and Turkish adaption is available (11,12). While the original version comprises 26 questions, Turkish version has 27 questions. The index includes subdomains of physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environmental health, and measures the satisfaction with general quality of life and health.

Statistical Method

Sample size and power analyzes were calculated using the G Power program. Data collected in the study were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for Windows 26 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation, and categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages. Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test was used to determine the compatibility of continuous variables to normal distribution. Continuous variables did not manifest normal distribution. Thus, Mann Whitney U Test was used for the comparison of quantitative data among two independent groups, Kruskal-Wallis H Test was used for the comparison of quantitative data among multiple independent groups. Pearson Chi-square test was used for the comparison of categorical data. Pearson Correlation Test was used to evaluate the correlation level among the scales administered to the participants. Significance level was taken as p < 0.05 in the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

While the ages of 110 participants included in the study ranged from 27 to 75, their duration of study ranged from 5 to 16 years. Patient and control groups had similar characteristics in terms of gender, marital status, level of income, existence of additional medical diseases, smoking, existence of history of suicide attempts, and substance abuse. The groups were not similar in terms of age and duration of study, and varied in terms of occupational groups, existence of alcohol use, and existence of psychiatric disorder (Table 1).

Table 1. Sociodemographic variables and intergroup comparisons.

	5 I	a			
	Patient	Control	Test	p	
~ .	n (%)	n (%)	Statistic		
Gender					
Female	37 (78,7)	47 (74,6)	$\chi^{2}=0.076$	0.782*	
Male	10 (21,3)	16 (25,4)	~ 0,070	3,7 82	
Marital Status					
Married	39 (83,0)	50 (79,4)	$x^2 - 0.054$	0.817*	
Single	8 (17,0)	13 (20,6)	X =0,034	0,817	
Profession					
Not working	21 (44,7)	7 (11,1)			
Blue col-	0 (10 1)	45 (71 4)			
or/White color	9 (19,1)	45 (71,4)	x²=31,044	<0,001*	
Retired	12 (25,5)	9 (14,3)			
Student	5 (10,6)	2 (3,2)			
Income					
0-1000	5 (10.6)	7 (11.1)			
1001-3000	11 (23.4)	8 (12.7)	2		
3001 and			∦ *=2,184	0,336*	
above	31 (66)	48 (76,2)			
Additional Med	ical Illness				
Yes	28 (59 6)	27 (42 9)			
No	19(404)	36(571)	χ ² =2,378	0,123*	
Psychiatric diso	1) (40,4) rder	50 (57,1)			
Vos	24(511)	14 (22.2)			
No	24(31,1) 23(48.0)	14(22,2) 10(77.8)	∦ ² =8,668	0,003*	
Cigorotto Smok	23 (40,7)	4)(11,0)			
Voc	19 (29 2)	25(20.7)			
I CS	10(30,3)	23(39,7)	$\chi^2 = 0,000$	1,000*	
	29 (61,7)	38 (00,3)			
Alcohol intake	2 (1 2)	10 (20.2)			
Yes	2 (4,3)	19 (30,2)	$\chi^{2} = 10.076$	0.002*	
No	45 (95,7)	44 (69,8)	-	-)	
Suicide Attempt	History				
Yes	4 (8,5)	3 (4,8)	$\chi^{2}=0.629$	0.458*	
No	43 (91,5)	60 (95,2)	A 0,019	0,150	
Shift working					
Yes	6 (12,8)	15 (23,8)	$x^2 - 1.471$	0.225*	
No	41 (87,2)	48 (76,2)	Λ =1,471	0,225	
	Patient	Control			
	(Mean ±	(Mean ±	р		
	SD)	SD)	-		
A	$52,63 \pm$	$47,00 \pm$	0.021	**	
Age	13,97	12,03	0,031		
Years of	$7.44 \pm$	$11.58 \pm$	0.00144		
Education	3,75	3,57	<0,00	1**	

* chi-square test, **Mann Whitney U Test, χ^2 : chi-square test statistic, n: Number.

Mean age of the patient group was 54. Patients were divided into two groups based on such value as <54 age and ≥ 54 age. Mean RLSSS scores of the two

groups were $28,34\pm8,08$ and $32,50\pm6,27$, respectively. Groups were significantly different from one another in terms of RLSSS scores (p <0,05).

78,7% (n :37) of the patient group was female, and 21,3% (n :10) was male. While mean RLSSS score in the female group was $31,13\pm6,28$, it was $28,00\pm10,79$ in the male group. RLSSS scores were similar among the genders (p >0,05).

Total mean PSQI score in the female patient group $10,56\pm3,98$, and $9,50\pm4,71$ in the male patient group. There was no significant difference among the total PSQI scores of the patient group by gender (p >0,05).

38,3% (n :18) of the patient group was smokers, and 61,7% (n :29) was not regular smokers. While mean RLSSS scores of the smoker group was $32,11\pm5,56$, it was 29,44 \pm 8,33 in the nonsmoker group. No significant difference was observed among the smokers and nonsmokers in terms of RLSSS scores (p <0,05). While the mean PSQI score of smokers was $12,83\pm3,74$, the mean PSQI score of the nonsmokers was $8,79\pm3,58$. There was significant difference among the groups (p <0,05).

Groups were compared in terms of RLSSS, WHOQOL-BREF-TR, PSQI index scores. Total RLSSS score manifested significant difference in the patient and control groups (p <0,001). WHOQOL-BREF-TR general quality of life, health satisfaction, and physical health sub-domain scores were identified as significantly higher in the control group (p <0,05). WHOQOL-BREF-TR psychological health, environmental health, and social relationships sub-domain scores were similar among the groups (p >0,05). Total score of PSQI index and all sub-domain scores were significantly higher in the patient group (p <0,05) (Table 2).

Table 2.	Comparison	of parameters	by groups.
----------	------------	---------------	------------

Scale	Patient (Mean ± SD)	Control (Mean ± SD)	р
WHOQOL Physical Health	$21,\!29\pm5,\!69$	$26,\!14\pm4,\!85$	<0,001*
WHOQOL Psychological Health	$20{,}72\pm5{,}03$	$22,\!19\pm4,\!13$	0,069
WHOQOL Social Relationships	$9{,}34 \pm 3{,}02$	$10{,}33\pm2{,}68$	0,105
WHOQOL Environmental Health	$31{,}70\pm5{,}66$	$32,\!17\pm5,\!09$	0,582
WHOQOL General Quality of Life	$2,\!87\pm1,\!11$	$3,\!31\pm0,\!79$	0,041
WHOQOL Health Satisfaction	$2,42 \pm 1,31$	$3,42 \pm 0,75$	<0,001*
HBSSSTOP	$30,46 \pm 7,44$	$6,\!44 \pm 9,\!05$	<0,001*
Subjective Sleep Quality	$1,77 \pm 0,81$	$1,34 \pm 0,84$	0,006*
Sleep Latency	$1,96 \pm 0,83$	$1,03 \pm 0,84$	<0,001*
Sleep Duration	$1,\!45 \pm 1,\!09$	$0,50 \pm 0,87$	<0,001*
Sleep Efficiency	$1,10 \pm 1,04$	$0,\!44 \pm 0,\!79$	<0,001*
Sleep Disturbance	$1,87 \pm 0,74$	$1,42 \pm 0,55$	0,002*
Sleep Medication	$0.89 \pm 1,22$	$0,17 \pm 0,58$	<0,001*
Daytime dysfunction	$1,29 \pm 1,08$	$0,57 \pm 0,75$	<0,001*
PSQI Total Score	$10,34 \pm 4,11$	$5,50 \pm 3,92$	<0,001*

SD: Standard Deviation, *Mann Whitney U Test.

Analyzing the relationship between RLSSS and PSQI in terms of direction and level of correlation among the scales in the patient group; significant correlation in the positive direction was identified among the RLSSS and PSQI total score, subjective sleep quality, and sleep disorder sub-domains (Table 3). Analyzing the relationship between RLSSS and WHOQO

L-BREF-TR; there was significant correlation in the negative direction among the RLSSS, WHOQOL-BREF-TR physical health, psychological health, general quality of life, and health satisfaction sub-domains (Table 3). Analyzing the relationship between PSQI and WHOQOL-BREF-TR; there was significant correlation in the negative direction among the subjective sleep quality and social relationships. No significant correlation was observed among sleep latency and WHOQOL-BREF-TR. We've observed significant correlation in the negative direction among all sub-domains of WHOQOL-BREF-TR except for shortened

sleep duration and general quality of life. There was significant correlation in the negative direction among sleep efficiency and environmental health. There was significant correlation in the negative direction among all sub-domains of WHOQOL-BREF-TR except for sleep disorder and general quality of life. There was significant correlation in the negative direction among the sub-domains of daytime dysfunction and physical health, environmental health, general quality of life, and health satisfaction. There was significant correlation in the negative direction among all sub-domains of WHOQOL-BREF-TR except for total PSQI score and general quality of life (Table 3).

	Table 3. Evaluation of correlations of scale scores in the patient groups.																
	PSQI								WHOQOL								
-	SCALE		HBSSS	Subjective Sleep Quality	Sleep Latency	Sleep Duration	Sleep Efficiency	Sleep Disturbance	Sleep Medication	Daytime dysfunc-	Total	Physical Health	Psychological Health	Social Relation- ships	Environmental Health	General Quality of Life	Health Satisfaction
	HBSSS	r	1 0,3	320*	0,063	0,178	0,136	0,295*	0,049	0,258	0,293*	-0,423**	-0,299*	-0,286	-0,088	-0,296*	0,587**
-		р	0,	028	0,674	0,230	0,363	0,044	0,745	0,080	0,045	0,003	0,041	0,051	0,555	0,043	<0,001
	Subjective Sleep Quality Sleep Latency	r p r p		1	0,145 0,329 1	0,363* 0,012 0,615** <0,001	0,183 0,218 0,529** <0,001	0,202 0,173 0,308* 0,035	-0,091 0,541 0,145 0,330	0,254 0,085 -0,058 0,699	0,446** 0,002 0,613** <0,001	-0,266 0,070 -0,204 0,170	-0,287 0,050 -0,241 0,102	- 0,497** <0,001 -0,072 0,631	-0,280 0,057 -0,275 0,062	0,038 0,799 0,181 0,223	-0,250 0,089 -0,182 0,222
	Sleep Dura-	r				1	0,694**	0,365*	0,328*	0,178	0,849**	-0,365*	-0,437*	-0,367*	0,491**	-0,41	-0,345*
ō	Sleep Effi- ciency	p r p					<0,001 1	0,012 0,242 0,101	0,024 0,298* 0,042	0,231 0,355* 0,014	<0,001 0,808** <0,001	0,012 -0,242 0,101	0,002 -0,213 0,151	0,011 -0,128 0,390	<0,001 -0,431* 0,003	0,784 0,068 0,651	0,018 -0,160 0,283
5d	Sleep Disturb- ance	r p						1	0,009 0,954	0,293* 0.046	0,520** <0.001	-0,506** <0.001	- 0,709** <0.001	- 0,592** <0.001	- 0,455** <0.001	0,006 0,967	-0,345* 0.018
	Sleep Medica- tion Daytime dysfunction	r p r p							1	-0,41 0,783 1	0,462** <0,001 0,479** <0,001	-0,236 0,110 -0,396** 0,006	-0,054 0,716 -0,264 0,073	-0,061 0,685 -0,218 0,141	-0,244 0,099 -0,322* 0,027	-0,170 0,253 -0,310* 0,034	-0,080 0,595 -0,366* 0,011
	TOTAL	r									1	-0,518** <0.001	- 0,489** <0.001	0,425**	- 0,590** <0.001	-0,080 0 592	- 0,401** 0,005
MHOQOL	Physical Health Psychological Health Social Rela- tionships Environmental Health General Owality of	r p r p r p r r										1	0,642** <0,001 1	0,390** 0,007 0,765** <0,001 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0,504^{**}\\ <0,001\\ 0,712^{**}\\ <0,001\\ 0,485^{**}\\ 0,001\\ 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.552\\ 0.561**\\ <0.001\\ 0.381**\\ 0.008\\ 0.207\\ 0.164\\ 0.228\\ 0.124\\ 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0,691^{**}\\ <0,001\\ 0,557^{**}\\ <0,001\\ 0,291^{*}\\ 0,047\\ 0,315^{*}\\ 0,031\\ 0,631^{**}\\ \end{array}$
	Life	р															<0,001

r: Correlation Coefficient, Pearson Correlation Test, *p <0,05, **p <0,01.

When the RLS severity of the patient population was grouped by RLSSS score, 10,6% (n :5) of the patient group had "moderate", 31,9% (n :15) had "severe", and 57,4% (n :27) had "very severe" RLS severity levels. Analyzing the relationship between the RLS severity

groups and WHOQOL-BREF-TR; significant difference was identified among the groups in terms of physical health and health satisfaction sub-domain scores (Table 4).

		RLS Stage							
		Moderate (n:5)	Severe (n:15)	Very Severe (n:27)	н	р	Moderate - Severe	Very Severe - Moderate	Very Severe - Severe
		Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD			Adjusted p value	Adjusted p value	Adjusted p value
-	Physical Health	27,20±4,02	22,00±5,35	19,81±5,49	8,190	0,017*	0,198**	0,016**	0,610**
	Psychological Health	25,00±3,46	21,13±4,37	19,70±5,29	4,816	0,090*			
QOL	Social Relation- ships	11,80±1,78	9,20±3,07	8,96±3,04	4,854	0,088*			
OHO	Environmental Health	34,20±4,60	30,93±3,26	31,66±6.97	1,701	0,427*			
δ	General Quality 3,20±0,44		3,20±0,44 3,26±0,79		5,246	0,073*			
	Health Satisfac- tion	4,00±0,01	3,00±1,19	1,81±1,11	15,255	<0,001*	0,335**	0,002**	0,026**
-	Subjective Sleep Quality	1,20±0,44	1,80±0,77	1,85±0,86	3,794	0,150*			
	Sleep Latency	$1,80\pm0,44$	$1,93\pm0,70$	2,00±0,96	0,965	0,617*			
	Sleep Duration	$1,20\pm0,44$	$1,20\pm0,86$	$1,62\pm1,27$	1,147	0,563*			
IÌ	Sleep Efficien- cy	Efficien- 1,00±0,01 0,93±0,79		1,22±1,25	0,203	0,903*	3*		
DSd	Sleep Disturb- ance	1,00±0,01	1,93±0,79	2,00±0,67	8,405	0,015*	0,037**	0,012**	1,000**
	Sleep Medica- tion	0,40±0,89	1,00±1,25	0,92±1,26	1,084	0,582*			
	Daytime dys- function	1,00±0,70	1,06±1,22	1,48±1,05	1,973	0,373*			
	Total Score	7,60±1,34	9,86±2,99	11,11±4,76	1,715	0,424*			

Table 4. Comparison of WHOQOL and PSQI scores according to RLS (Restless legs syndrome) stages.

*Kruskal-Wallis H test, **Mann Whitney U Test.

Analyzing the relationship between RLS severity groups and PSQI; we've observed significant difference among sleep disorder sub-domain and RLS groups (p <0,05, H :8,405), however, the differences among other sub-domains and RLS groups were not at a significant level (Table 4). Patients were grouped in terms of sleep disorder severities by total PSOI scores. Patients with score PSQI<5 were evaluated as having mild, PSQI≥5 to <10 as moderate, and PSQI≥10 as severe sleep disorder. Accordingly, 42,6% (n :20) of the patients had moderate, and 57,4% (n :27) had severe sleep disorder. Analyzing the relationship between the severity of sleep disorder and WHOQOL-BREF-TR; patients with severe sleep disorder had poorer quality of life compared to patients with moderate sleep disorder. Such difference was at a statistically significant degree in all domains except for general quality of life (Table 5).

Table 5. Comparison of WHOQOL scores according to sleep disturbance severity of patient groups.

Scale	Moderate Sleep Disturbance (n :20) (Mean±SD)	Severe Sleep Disturbance (n :20) (Mean±SD)	р
WHOQOL Physical Health WHOQOL	24,80±5,48	18,70±4,35	<0,001*
Psychological Health WHOOOL	23,25±4,75	18,85±4,44	0,008*
Social Relation- ships WHOOOL	10,25±3,35	8,66±2,61	0,044*
Environmental Health	35,50±5,63	28,88±3,77	<0,001*
General Quality of Life	3,00±1,21	2,77±1,05	0,424*
WHOQOL Health Satisfaction	3,05±1,27	1,96±1,15	0,005*

SD: Standard Deviation, *Mann Whitney U Test.

DISCUSSION

RLS is a common neurological disorder that may emerge at any age and may cause significant dysfunctions (13).

While there are studies demonstrating increase prevalence of RLS by aging (14, 15), there are also studies demonstrating that the prevalence of RLS is not related to age (16-18). Despite the fact that there are numerous studies investigating the relationship between age and prevalence of RLS, there are not adequate number of studies that investigate the relationship of age and severity of RLS. In our study, we've identified the severity of RLS as significantly higher in old age group compared to non-old age group. This data needs to be supported by new studies.

Results obtained in the studies investigating the relationship of RLS with gender mainly demonstrate that it is more frequent and severe in females compared to males (5, 19, 20). Although we've observed higher RLSSS scores in female patients compared to male patients in our study, such difference was not statistically significant. Our study does not differ from the general literature in this context. It is believed that the low number of participants affects such outcome.

There are various studies that investigate the relationship between smoking and RLS. While some of such studies have identified the relationship between smoking and RLS (21-23), some was unable to demonstrate such relationship (24, 25). It is observed that the current data on this subject is conflicting. Although we have not observed any significant difference among the smoker and nonsmoker patient groups in terms of severity of RLS in our study, it is compatible with other studies that are unable to demonstrate the relationship among the two groups. However, our finding which demonstrates higher sleep disorder in the smoker group compared to nonsmoker group suggests that there may be a relationship between smoking and RLS despite the fact that there is a possibility that it may be related to other health issues which smoking may have caused.

One of the key findings of our study was the fact that individuals diagnosed with RLS generally had lower quality of life compared to healthy volunteers and such difference was apparent in perception of physical health and general quality of life. Studies demonstrate that RLS generally lowers the quality of life (26-28). However, in our study, we've observed that the domains of quality of life, physical health, and health satisfaction decreased significantly as the severity of RLS increased in the patient group. There are studies in the literature on the fact that the quality of life declines as the severity of RLS increases (27, 29, 30). Our study shows similarity with the literature in these aspects.

Another significant finding in our study was the fact that individuals diagnosed with RLS had significantly higher scores in total PSQI and in all sub-domains compared to healthy volunteers. Sleep disorder was common particularly in moderate and severe RLS due to the fact that the symptoms in individuals diagnosed with RLS were mainly observed at nighttime (31). In literature, approximately 85% of RLS patients has disorders such as sleep latency, maintaining sleep, and sleep efficiency, and one out of every three patients reports severe sleep disorders (32-34). Our study supports the literature in this context.

Conclusion

RLS negatively effects the quality of life and sleep quality, and this is further dramatized as the severity of RLS increases. RLS can be affected by many parameters including age, gender, smoking, etc. This disorder is expected to cause many adverse conditions and to affect functioning negatively, and thus it is one of the most significant disorders which needs to be considered by clinicians in diagnosis and differential diagnosis due its high prevalence.

REFERENCES

- Maung SC, El Sara A, Chapman C, Cohen D, Cukor D. Sleep disorders and chronic kidney disease. World J Nephrol 2016; 5: 224-32.
- de Biase S, Valente M, Gigli GL. Intractable restless legs syndrome: role of prolonged-release oxycodone-naloxone. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2016; 12: 417-25.
- 3. Sateia MJ. International classification of sleep disorders. Chest 2014; 146: 1387-94.
- 4. Yeh P, Walters AS, Tsuang JW. Restless legs syndrome: a comprehensive overview on its epidemiology, risk factors, and treatment. Sleep Breath 2012; 16: 987-1007.
- 5. Allen RP, Walters AS, Montplaisir J et al. Restless legs syndrome prevalence and impact: rest general population study. Arch Intern Med 2005; 165: 1286-92.
- Kushida CA. Clinical presentation, diagnosis, and quality of life issues in Restless Leg Syndrome. Am J Med 2007; 120: 4-12.
- Merlino G, Valente M, Serafini A, Gigli GL. Restless legs syndrome: diagnosis, epidemiology, classification and consequences. Neurol Sci 2007; 28: 37-46.

- 8. Walters AS, LeBrocq C, Dhar A, et al. The International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group. Validation of the International Restless Legs syndrome Study Group rating scale for restless legs syndrome. Sleep Med 2003; 4: 121-32.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989; 28: 193-213.
- Agargün MY, Kara H, Anlar Ö. Pittsburgh Uyku Kalitesi indeksinin geçerliliği ve güvenilirliği. Turk Psikiyatri Derg 1996; 7: 107-15.
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHOQOL-BREF: introduction, administration, scoring and generic version of the assessment: field trial version, December 1996. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1996. p.16.
- Fidaner H, Elbi H, Fidaner C, Eser SY, Eser E, Göker E. Psychometric properties of the WHOQOL-100 and WHOQOL-BREF. Psikiyatri Psikoloji Psikofarmakoloji (3P) Dergisi 1999; 7: 5-13.
- Mungan S, Ozcan M, Orhan G et al. Clinical and polysomnographic features of patients with Restless Legs Syndrome. J Pak Med Assoc 2016; 66: 568-73.
- 14. Ulfberg J, Nystrom B, Carter N, Edling C. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome among men aged 18 to 64 years: an association with somatic disease and neuropsychiatric symptoms. Mov Disord 2001; 16: 1159-63.
- 15. Nichols DA, Allen RP, Grauke JH et al. Restless Legs Syndrome Symptoms in Primary Care A Prevalence Study. Arch Intern Med 2003; 163: 2323-9.
- 16. Benediktsdottir B, Janson C, Lindberg E et al. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome among adults in Iceland and Sweden: lung function, comorbidity, ferritin, biomarkers and quality of life. Sleep Med 2010; 11: 1043-8.
- 17. Kim KW, Yoon IY, Chung S et al. Prevalence, comorbidities and risk factors of restless legs syndrome in the Korean elderly population e results from the Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging. J Sleep Res 2010; 19: 87-92.
- Ohayon MM, O'Hara R, Vitiello MV. Epidemiology of restless legs syndrome: a synthesis of the literature. Sleep Med Rev 2012; 16: 283-95.
- 19. Ghorayeb I, Bioulac B, Scribans C, Tison F. Perceived severity of restless legs syndrome across the female life cycle. Sleep Med 2008; 9: 799-802.
- Taşdemir M, Erdoğan H, Börü ÜT, Dilaver E, Kumaş A. Epidemiology of restless legs syndrome in Turkish adults on the western Black Sea coast of Turkey: A door-to-door study in a rural area. Sleep Med 2010; 11: 82-6.

- 21. Güler O, Karaman ST, Basat O. The Frequency of Restless Legs Syndrome and Its Relationship with the Level of Addiction in Smokers. Bezmialem Science 2021; 9: 481-6.
- 22. Innes KE, Flack KL, Selfe TK, Kandati S, Agarwal P. Restless legs syndrome in an appalachian primary care population: prevalence, demographic and lifestyle correlates, and burden. J Clin Sleep Med 2013; 15: 91065-75.
- Sevim S, Dogu O, Kaleagasi H, Aral M, Metin O, Camdeviren H. Correlation of anxiety and depression symptoms in patients with restless legs syndrome: a population based survey, J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004; 75: 226-30.
- Ceylan M, Aygül R, Yalçın A. Erzurum İli huzursuz bacak sendromu prevelansının araştırılması ve sosyodemografik özelliklerin incelenmesi. J Contemp Med 2019; 9: 48-54.
- Lavigne GL, Lobbezoo F, Rompré PH, Nielsen TA, Montplaisir J. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor or an exacerbating factor for restless legs syndrome and sleep bruxism. Sleep 1997; 20: 290-3.
- 26. Abbetz L, Allen R, Follet A et al. Evaluating the quality of life of patients with restless leg syndrome. Clin Ther 2004; 26: 925-35.
- 27. Happe S, Reese JP, Stiasny-Kolster K et al. Assessing health related quality of life in patients with restless leg syndrome. Sleep Med 2009; 10: 295-305.
- 28. Cho YW, Kim DH, Allen RP, Earley CJ. Assessing health related quality of life in patients with restless legs syndrome in Korea: comparison with other chronic medical diseases. Sleep Med 2012; 13: 1158-63.
- 29. McCrink L, Allen RP, Wolowacz S, Sherrill B, Connolly M, Kirsch J. Predictors of health related quality of life in sufferers with restless legs syndrome: a multi-national study. Sleep Med 2007; 8: 73-83.
- Svetel MV, Jovic JS, Pekmezovic TD, Kostic VS. Quality of life in patients with primary restless leg syndrome: community-based study. Neurol Sci 2015; 36: 1345-51.
- 31. Hornyak M. Depressive disorders in restless legs syndrome: epidemiology, pathophysiology and management. CNS Drugs 2010; 24: 89-98.
- 32. Tuaç ST, Özben S, Bitnel MK, Baybaş S. Huzursuz bacaklar sendromu hastalarında depresyon ve uyku kalitesi. J Turk Sleep Med 2017; 4: 1-5.
- Ferini-Strambi L, Walters AS, Sica D. The relationship among restless legs syndrome (Willis-Ekbom Disease), hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and cerebrovascular disease. J Neurol 2014; 261: 1051-68.
- 34. Duru Aşiret G, Çetinkaya F. The relationship between fragility and sleep quality in the elderly hospitalized patients. Firat Med J 2018; 23: 184-8.